

## APPROXIMATE QUADRATIC MAPPINGS IN QUASI- $\beta$ -NORMED SPACES\*

YOUNG SOON HONG\* AND HARK-MAHN KIM\*\*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider a modified quadratic functional equation and then investigate its generalized Hyers–Ulam stability theorem in quasi- $\beta$ -normed spaces.

### 1. Introduction

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [17] raised the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Let  $G$  be a group and let  $G'$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $F : G \rightarrow G'$  with  $d(f(x), F(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in G$ ? The case of approximately additive mappings was solved by D.H. Hyers [8] under the assumption that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces. A generalization of Hyers' theorem was provided by Th.M. Rassias [12] in 1978 and by P. Găvruta [6] in 1994.

We recall that the following functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2[f(x) + f(y)]$$

is called a quadratic functional equation which may be originated from the important parallelogram equality  $\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2[\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2]$  in inner product spaces. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping. The Hyers–Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by

---

Received February 26, 2015; Accepted April 24, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B82, 39B72.

Key words and phrases: generalized Hyers–Ulam stability; quadratic mappings; quasi- $\beta$ -normed spaces.

Correspondence should be addressed to Hark-Mahn Kim, [hmkim@cnu.ac.kr](mailto:hmkim@cnu.ac.kr).

This work was supported by research fund of Chungnam National University.

F. Skof [16] for a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach space. S. Czerwik [5] proved the Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation with the sum of powers of norms in the sense of Th. M. Rassias approach using direct method as follows.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $E_1$  be a normed space and  $E_2$  a Banach space and let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and  $r \neq 2$  be given real numbers. Let  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be a mapping satisfying the condition*

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$  ( $x, y \in E_1 \setminus \{0\}$  if  $r < 0$ ). Then there exists exactly one quadratic mapping  $h : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$\|f(x) - \frac{f(0)}{3} - h(x)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{|2^r - 4|} \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in E_1$  ( $x \in E_1 \setminus \{0\}$  if  $r < 0$ ), where  $f(0) = 0$  in case  $r > 0$ . If in addition,  $f$  is measurable or the mapping  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow f(tx)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in E_1$ , then the mapping  $h$  satisfies the condition

$$h(tx) = t^2 h(x)$$

for all  $x \in E_1$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

J.M. Rassias [13] proved the Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation with the product of powers of norms using direct method as the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space,  $Y$  a Banach space, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping. If there exist real numbers  $a, b$  with  $0 \leq a + b < 2$ , and  $c \geq 0$  such that*

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq c\|x\|^a\|y\|^b$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then there exists a unique non-linear mapping  $N : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - N(x)\| \leq c_1\|x\|^{a+b}$$

and

$$N(x+y) + N(x-y) = 2N(x) + 2N(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $c_1 = \frac{c}{4-2^{a+b}}$ .

On the other hand, C. Borelli and G.L. Forti [2] have proved the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability theorem of the quadratic functional equation and thus we can obtain the following stability theorem as a result.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $E$  a Banach space, and let  $f : G \rightarrow E$  be a mapping satisfying the inequality*

$$\|f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Assume that one of the series

$$\Phi(x, y) := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2(k+1)}} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) < \infty, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{2k} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{y}{2^{k+1}}\right) < \infty, \end{cases} \quad (a)$$

converges for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : G \rightarrow E$  such that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{f(0)}{3} - Q(x) \right\| \leq \Phi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $f(0) = 0$  in case (a).

The stability problems of several functional equations and several functional inequalities have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting result concerning the stability of various functional equations and inequalities ([4],[7],[9],[10]). Recently, A. Zivari-Kazempour and M. Eshaghi Gordji [18] have determined the general solution of the quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + 2y) + f(y + 2z) + f(z + 2x) \\ = 2f(x + y + z) + 3[f(x) + f(y) + f(z)] \end{aligned}$$

and then have investigated its generalized Hyers–Ulam stability. Motivated from this quadratic functional equation, we consider a modified functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad f(x + 2y) + f(y + 2z) + f(z + 2x) + f(y + 2x) + f(z + 2y) \\ + f(x + 2z) = 4f(x + y + z) + 6[f(x) + f(y) + f(z)] \end{aligned}$$

and then we establish its generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the equation (1.1) in quasi- $\beta$ -normed spaces. As results, we generalize stability results of the equation (1.1) in normed spaces.

## 2. Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of Eq. (1.1).

First of all, we remark that the above functional equation (1.1) is equivalent to the original quadratic functional equation [11].

Now, we recall some basic facts concerning the quasi- $\beta$ -normed spaces [14]. Let  $\beta$  be a fixed real number with  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  and let  $X$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  denote either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . A quasi- $\beta$ -norm is a real-valued function on  $X$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $\|x\| \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|^\beta \|x\|$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and all  $x \in X$ ;
- (3) There is a constant  $M \geq 1$  such that  $\|x + y\| \leq M(\|x\| + \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

In this case, the pair  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a quasi- $\beta$ -normed space. A quasi- $\beta$ -Banach space is a complete quasi- $\beta$ -normed space. Let  $p$  be a real number with  $(0 < p \leq 1)$ . Then, the quasi- $\beta$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $X$  is called a  $(\beta, p)$ -norm if, moreover,  $\|\cdot\|^p$  satisfies the following triangle inequality

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . In this case, a quasi- $\beta$ -Banach space is called a  $(\beta, p)$ -Banach space. We notice that quasi-1-normed spaces are equivalent to quasi-normed spaces and that  $(1, p)$ -Banach spaces with  $(1, p)$ -norm are equivalent to  $p$ -Banach spaces with  $p$ -norm. We can refer to [1, 15] for the concept of quasi-normed spaces and  $p$ -Banach spaces. Given a  $p$ -norm, the formula  $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|^p$  gives us a translation invariant metric on  $X$ . By the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem [15], each quasi-norm is equivalent to some  $p$ -norm [1].

Before making up the main subject, we use the following abbreviation for notational convenience :

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x, y, z) \\ := f(x + 2y) + f(y + 2z) + f(z + 2x) + f(y + 2x) + f(z + 2y) \\ + f(x + 2z) - 4f(x + y + z) - 6[f(x) + f(y) + f(z)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a vector space and  $Y$  is a  $(\beta, p)$ -Banach space. Let  $\varphi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_1(x, y, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9^{k\beta p}} \varphi(3^k x, 3^k y, 3^k z)^p$$

is convergent for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $F(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n}$ ,  $x \in X$ , which satisfies the equation (1.1) and

$$(2.3) \quad \|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{18^\beta} [\Phi_1(x, x, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $y = z := x$  in (2.2), we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \frac{f(3x)}{9} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{18^\beta} \varphi(x, x, x), \quad (x \in X).$$

By induction on  $n$ , one can prove the following functional inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| f(x) - \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \right\|^p \leq \frac{1}{18^{\beta p}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{9^{k\beta p}} \varphi(3^k x, 3^k x, 3^k x)^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ . In fact, it is true for  $n = 1$ . Assume that the inequality (2.5) holds true for  $n$ . If we replace  $x$  by  $3^n x$  in (2.4), then we get

$$(2.6) \quad \left\| \frac{f(3^{n+1} x)}{9^{n+1}} - \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \right\|^p \leq \frac{1}{18^{\beta p} \cdot 9^{n\beta p}} \varphi(3^n x, 3^n x, 3^n x)^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Thus, by triangle inequality on  $\| \cdot \|^p$ , we deduce

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f(3^{n+1} x)}{9^{n+1}} - f(x) \right\|^p \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{f(3^{n+1} x)}{9^{n+1}} - \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \right\|^p + \left\| \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} - f(x) \right\|^p \\ & \leq \frac{1}{18^{\beta p}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{9^{k\beta p}} \varphi(3^k x, 3^k x, 3^k x)^p, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.5) for  $n + 1$ . Now, replacing  $x$  by  $3^m x$  in (2.5), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| \frac{f(3^{n+m} x)}{9^{n+m}} - \frac{f(3^m x)}{9^m} \right\|^p \leq \frac{1}{18^{\beta p}} \sum_{k=m}^{n+m-1} \frac{1}{9^{k\beta p}} \varphi(3^k x, 3^k x, 3^k x)^p$$

which converges to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  by the assumption (2.1). Thus the above inequality implies that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \right\}$  is Cauchy for all  $x \in X$  and so it is convergent in  $Y$  since the space  $Y$  is complete. Thus, we may define  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  as

$$F(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n}, \quad (x \in X).$$

Then by the definition of  $F$ , we can see by taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.5) that the approximation (2.3) holds. To show that  $F$  satisfies the equation (1.1), we set  $(x, y, z) := (3^n x, 3^n y, 3^n z)$  in (2.2), and divide the resulting inequality by  $9^n$ . Then we get

$$\left\| \frac{Df(3^n x, 3^n y, 3^n z)}{9^n} \right\|^p \leq \frac{1}{9^{n\beta p}} \varphi(3^n x, 3^n y, 3^n z)^p$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , one obtains  $DF(x, y, z) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Hence  $F$  satisfies the equation (1.1) and so it is quadratic.

To show the uniqueness of  $F$ , we assume that there exists a quadratic mapping  $G : X \rightarrow Y$  which satisfies the inequality

$$\|f(x) - G(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{18^\beta} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(3^k x, 3^k x, 3^k x)^p}{9^{k\beta p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , but suppose  $F(y) \neq G(y)$  for some  $y \in X$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \|F(y) - G(y)\|^p$ . For such given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from (2.1) that there is a positive integer  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{2}{18^{\beta p}} \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(3^k y, 3^k y, 3^k y)^p}{9^{k\beta p}} < \varepsilon$ . Since  $F$  and  $G$  are quadratic mappings, we see from the equality  $F(3^{n_0} y) = 9^{n_0} F(y)$  and  $G(3^{n_0} y) = 9^{n_0} G(y)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(y) - G(y)\|^p &= \frac{1}{9^{n_0\beta p}} \|F(3^{n_0} y) - G(3^{n_0} y)\|^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9^{n_0\beta p}} [\|F(3^{n_0} y) - f(3^{n_0} y)\|^p + \|f(3^{n_0} y) - G(3^{n_0} y)\|^p] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9^{n_0\beta p}} \cdot \frac{2}{18^{\beta p}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(3^{i+n_0} y, 3^{i+n_0} y, 3^{i+n_0} y)^p}{9^{i\beta p}} \\ &= \frac{2}{18^{\beta p}} \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(3^k y, 3^k y, 3^k y)^p}{9^{k\beta p}} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which leads a contradiction. Hence the mapping  $F$  is a unique quadratic mapping satisfying (2.3).  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space and  $Y$  a  $(\beta, p)$ -Banach space. If there exists a function  $\varphi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for which a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df(x, y, z)\| &\leq \varphi(x, y, z), \text{ and} \\ \Phi_2(x, y, z) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 9^{k\beta p} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{3^k}, \frac{y}{3^k}, \frac{z}{3^k}\right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ , defined as  $F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 9^n f(\frac{x}{3^n})$ ,  $x \in X$ , which satisfies the equation (1.1) and

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{18^\beta} [\Phi_2(x, x, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* We see from (2.4) that

$$\|f(x) - 9f\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)\|^p \leq \frac{1}{2^{\beta p}} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{3}, \frac{x}{3}, \frac{x}{3}\right)^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Then it follows by induction that

$$\|f(x) - 9^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right)\|^p \leq \frac{1}{18^{\beta p}} \sum_{k=1}^n 9^{k\beta p} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{3^k}, \frac{x}{3^k}, \frac{x}{3^k}\right)^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Applying the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get the desired results.  $\square$

As applications, we obtain the following stability results of the equation (1.1), which generalize stability results in normed spaces.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Suppose  $X$  is a vector space and  $Y$  is a  $(\beta, p)$ -Banach space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  which satisfies (1.1) and

$$(2.9) \quad \|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\beta} \sqrt[p]{9^{\beta p} - 1}}, \quad (x \in X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(x, y, z) := \varepsilon$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{18^{\beta}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^p}{9^{k\beta p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\beta} (9^{\beta p} - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , as desired.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Suppose  $X$  is a quasi- $\alpha$ -normed space and  $Y$  is a  $(\beta, p)$ -Banach space. For given positive real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $r$  with  $\alpha r \neq 2\beta$ , let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{2^{\beta} \sqrt[p]{3^{2\beta p} - 3^{\alpha p}}} \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Considering a function  $\varphi(x, y, z) := \varepsilon(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$  and applying Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 to each cases  $r\alpha < 2\beta$  or  $r\alpha > 2\beta$ , respectively, we obtain the required approximation for each cases  $r\alpha < 2\beta$  or  $r\alpha > 2\beta$ , respectively.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Suppose  $X$  is a quasi- $\alpha$ -normed space and  $Y$  is a  $(\beta, p)$ -Banach space. For a given positive real number  $\theta$  and three real numbers  $r_i$ , let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$(2.10) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^{r_1} \|y\|^{r_2} \|z\|^{r_3}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $r := r_1 + r_2 + r_3 > 0, r\alpha \neq 2\beta$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{2^\beta \sqrt[2]{|3^{2\beta p} - 3^{r\alpha p}|}} \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Considering a function  $\varphi(x, y, z) := \theta \|x\|^{r_1} \|y\|^{r_2} \|z\|^{r_3}$  and then applying Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 to each cases  $r\alpha < 2\beta$  or  $r\alpha > 2\beta$ , respectively, we obtain the desired result for each cases  $r\alpha < 2\beta$  or  $r\alpha > 2\beta$ , respectively.  $\square$

**REMARK 2.6.** In Corollary 2.5, let  $r_3$  be a positive real number without loss of generality. If a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with regularity condition  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the assumption (2.10), then we find that  $Df(x, y, 0) = 0$ , which yields the equation

$$f(x + 2y) + f(2x + y) = 4f(x + y) + f(x) + f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus, it follows from Theorem 2.1 in [3] that  $f$  is itself a quadratic mapping in this case.

## References

- [1] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis*, Vol. 1, Colloq. Publ. vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 2000.
- [2] C. Borelli and G.L. Forti, *On a general Hyers–Ulam stability result*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **18** (1995), 229–236.
- [3] I. Chang and H. Kim, *On the Hyers–Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. Vol. **3** (2002), Article 33, 12pages.
- [4] H. Chu and S. Yoo, *On the stability of an additive set-valued functional equation*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **27** (2014), no. 3, 455–467.
- [5] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [6] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.



- [7] G. Han, *Fuzzy stability for a class of quadratic functional equations*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **27** (2014), no. 1, 123–132.
- [8] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [9] C. Kim and C. Shin, *The generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of quadratic functional equation with an involution in non-Archimedean spaces*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **27** (2014), no. 2, 261–269.
- [10] S. Lee, J. Bae and W. Park, *On the Hyers–Ulam stability of an additive functional inequality*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **26** (2013), no. 4, 671–681.
- [11] S. Lee, *Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of refined quadratic functional equation*, preprint.
- [12] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [13] J.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the non-linear Euler–Lagrange functional equation*, Chinese J. Math. **20** (1992), no. 2, 185–190.
- [14] J.M. Rassias and H. Kim, *Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability for additive functional equations in quasi- $\beta$ -normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **356** (2009), 302–309.
- [15] S. Rolewicz, *Metric linear spaces*, Reidel/PWN-Polish Sci. Publ. Dordrecht, 1984.
- [16] F. Skof, *Local properties and approximations of operators*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [17] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chap. VI, Wiley, New York, (1960).
- [18] A. Zivari-Kazempour and M. Eshaghi Gordji, *Generalized Hyers–Ulam Stabilities of an Euler–Lagrange–Rassias quadratic functional equation*, Asian European J. Math. **5** (2012), Doi:10.1142/S1793557112500143.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: hys05007@hanmail.net

\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: hmkim@cnu.ac.kr